

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 Address:

APPLICATION NO.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/719,900	12/18/00	KOCH			Н	00-726
Г		T 64."	ነው / ፥ ወወኞ	\neg		EXAMINER
' IM22/1003 BACHMAN & LAPOINTE				IP,S		
	H-01141E				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1201 900 CHAPEL STREET NEW HAVEN CT 06510-2802		2			1742	4
					DATE MAILED	10/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover she	et beneath the co	orrespondence addre	ss—	
P riod for Reply	_		•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING	DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defeature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	s, a reply within the statutory restault. expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30)	days will be considered time		
Status			,		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/18	100:2/1/01				
☐ This action is FINAL .	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			<u> </u>	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	cept for formal matters, p . 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	rosecution as to	the merits is closed i	n	
Disp sition of Claims					
✓ Claim(s) 7 - 1 +		is/are p	ending in the application	าก	
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)					
✓ Claim(s) 7 ~1 4	·	is/are re	aiorted		
□ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)				-4:	
· ·		requirer		ction	
Application Papers	wing Review, PTO-948.				
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra		d □ disapproved.			
Application Papers	is 🗆 approve	d □ disapproved.			
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approver is □ approver is □ is	d □ disapproved. r.			
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of	is □ approver is □ approver is □ is	d □ disapproved. r.			
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ot ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ot ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approver is □ approver is □ is	d □ disapproved. r.			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved pjected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents	r. a)-(d). have been			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* ☑ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☑ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nul	is approved pjected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents mber)	r. a)-(d). have been			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* ☑ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☑ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the	is approved pjected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents mber)	r. a)-(d). have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* ☑ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☑ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nul	is approved pjected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents mber)	r. a)-(d). have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* ☑ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☑ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ttachment(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PC)	r. a)-(d). have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·		
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved pjected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PC)	r. a)-(d). have been Γ Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	TO 157	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Serial No: 09/719,900

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 7 and 14 are indefinite because the steps are not in active format.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each

-3-

Serial No: 09/719,900

Art Unit: 1742

claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over USP 4661172 to Skinner et al (abstract and col. 1, lines 40-45), SU 530919 (abstract), EP 594509 (abstract, PTO-1449), USP 5540791 to Matsuo et al (abstract, PTO-1449).
- 7. The cited reference(s) disclose(s) the features including the claimed elements added to form Al base alloy. Therefore, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the subject matter disclosed by the reference. Overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, See MPEP § 2112.01, In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, In re Titanium Metals Corporation of America v. Banner, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985), In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ 2d 1934, and In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976).

Conclusion

The above rejection relies on the reference(s) for all the teachings expressed in the text(s) of the references and/or one of ordinary skill in the metallurgical art would have reasonably understood or implied from the text(s) of the reference(s). To emphasize certain aspect(s) of the prior art, only specific portion(s) of the text(s)

Serial No: 09/719,900

Art Unit: 1742

have been pointed out. Each reference as a whole should be reviewed in responding to the rejection, since other sections of the same reference and/or various combination of the cited references may be relied on in future rejection(s) in view of amendment(s).

All recited limitations in the instant claims have been meet by the rejections as set forth above.

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP § 2163.06 (a) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.119.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (703) 308-2542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (703)-308-1146.

The facsimile phone number for this Art Unit 1742 are (703) 305-3601 (Official Paper only) and (703) 305-7719 (Unofficial Paper only). When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

C Z

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742